



Interreg



Co-funded by
the European Union

NEXT MED

SECOND CALL FOR PROPOSALS

Environmental check

Result: (1. Environmental check passed - 2. Environmental check NOT passed - 3. Environmental check passed on condition)

Reference number:

Acronym:

Applicant (in English or French):

Applicant 's Country:

Starting date of the environmental check:/...../.....

Environmental expert:

Closing date of the environmental check:/...../.....

Request for clarification sent on:/...../.....

Clarification received on (Max. within 14 days):/...../.....

Reason(s) for rejection (if any):

Reason for rejection (KNOCK OUT criterion/a)	Notes This field includes comments on KNOCK OUT criterion/a and / or recommendation to be fulfilled in case of project "Passed on condition"



**Interreg**Co-funded by
the European Union**NEXT MED**

<i>Environmental questions – Administrative requirements to be verified</i>			Environmental expert analysis	
N.	KNOCK OUT	Evaluation questions	Answer YES/NO	Comments
1	YES	The Check list A of the e-Form is filled in (only for proposals under Programme Specific Objectives 1.1, 1.3, 2.1. 2.4, 2.5, 2.6 (corresponding to SOs 1.1, 1.2, 2.1, 2.2, 2.3, 2.4 according to the Guidelines for Applicants)		
2	NO	If the answer to the Question 5 of the Check list A is Yes , the questions from 6 to 10 have been answered		

REGIONE AUTÒNOMA
DE SARDIGNA
REGIONE AUTONOMA
DELLA SARDEGNA

[Type here]

**Interreg**Co-funded by
the European Union**NEXT MED**

Environmental questions – Administrative requirements to be verified			Environmental expert analysis	
N.	KNOCK OUT	Evaluation questions	Answer YES/NO	Comments
3	NO	<p>The Check list B has to be requested - to be checked taking into consideration the answers provided by the Applicant to the following Questions of the Check list A:</p> <p>Question 1 - Does the project foresee the realization of infrastructures? If the answer is Yes, the Check list B is required</p> <p>Question 2 - Does the project take into account the principles of environmental protection and sustainable development? If the answer is No, the Check list B is required</p> <p>Question 3 - Does the project take into account the relevant International, National and Regional directives/laws/agreement/strategies with regard to environmental and sustainable development issues? If the answer is No, the Check list B is required</p> <p>Question 4 - Has the environmental context been taken into account when deciding on the strategies and activities of the project proposal? If the answer is No, the Check list B is required</p> <p>Question 9 - Does the project foresee an environmental monitoring system? If the answer is No, the Check list B is required</p>		

REGIONE AUTÒNOMA
DE SARDIGNA
REGIONE AUTONOMA
DELLA SARDEGNA

[Type here]

3

**Interreg**Co-funded by
the European Union**NEXT MED**

<i>Environmental questions – Administrative requirements to be verified</i>			Environmental expert analysis	
N.	KNOCK OUT	Evaluation questions	Answer YES/NO	Comments
4	NO	Check list C is filled in (mandatory only for those proposals including an infrastructure of at least 1 million Euro or/and the projects including investments in infrastructure with a lifespan of 5 years or more)		

<i>Environmental questions – Mandatory environmental boxes</i>			Environmental expert analysis	
N.	KNOCK OUT	Evaluation questions	YES/NO	Comments
1	NO	Is the information under sections 3.5.1 and 4.1 of the E-form complete? Does any additional output listed in the WPs has a potential environmental impact? Is any additional information to be provided? (i.e. Check list A, B, C for proposals under the other Programme Specific Objectives – Call SOs 1.3, 3.1, 3.2. 4.1)		
2	NO	Are the descriptions of the negative and positive effects (direct or indirect) on the environment covering all potential environmental impacts?		

REGIONE AUTÒNOMA
DE SARDIGNA
REGIONE AUTONOMA
DELLA SARDEGNA

[Type here]



NEXT MED

Environmental questions – Mandatory environmental boxes			Environmental expert analysis	
N.	KNOCK OUT	Evaluation questions	YES/NO	Comments
3	NO	Is there any negative potential effect to be further considered? In case, clarification or additional Check lists may be required		
4	NO	The project does not lead to a significant greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions.		<i>Please identify clearly the project activities causing the adverse environmental effect and the related mitigation measures</i>
5	NO	The project does not have an increased adverse impact of the current climate and the expected future climate, on the activity itself or on people, nature or assets.		<i>Please identify clearly the project activities causing the adverse environmental effect and the related mitigation measures</i>
6	NO	The project does not cause significant harm to the good status or the good ecological potential of bodies of water, including surface water and groundwater, or to the good environmental status of marine waters.		<i>Please identify clearly the project activities causing the adverse environmental effect and the related mitigation measures</i>
7	NO	The project does not lead to significant inefficiencies in the use of materials or in the direct or indirect use of natural resources, or significantly increases the generation, incineration or disposal of waste, or the long-term disposal of waste causing significant and long-term environmental harm.		<i>Please identify clearly the project activities causing the adverse environmental effect and the related mitigation measures</i>



Environmental questions – Mandatory environmental boxes			Environmental expert analysis	
N.	KNOCK OUT	Evaluation questions	YES/NO	Comments
8	NO	The project does not lead to a significant increase in emissions of pollutants into air, water or land.		<i>Please identify clearly the project activities causing the adverse environmental effect and the related mitigation measures</i>
9	NO	The project is not significantly detrimental to the good condition and resilience of ecosystems, or detrimental to the conservation status of habitats and species, including those of Union interest.		<i>Please identify clearly the project activities causing the adverse environmental effect and the related mitigation measures</i>
10	NO	The project is consistent with the EU Taxonomy Regulation (EU) 2020/852 and the “do no significant harm (DNSH)” principle.		<i>Please indicate the modifications/intervention needed to address the issues identified</i>
11	NO	Based on the analysis carried out, and all clarifications provided by the Applicant, if any, the result of the verification is that the proposal is to be considered as compliant		<i>Please indicate the modifications/intervention needed to address the issues identified</i>

